SMITH'S REPORT

On the Holocaust Controversy

N° 129 www.Codoh.com July 2006



Supporting "The Campaign to Decriminalize World War II History"

THE CODOH REVISIONIST FORUM

SMITH ON LIBERAL RADIO IN BUFFALO

SMITH FINDS SUPPORT FOR REVISIONISM ON WEB SITE FOR HISTORIANS

CODOH SOLICITS NEW REVISIONIST PAPERS

The director of the CODOH Forum tells you all about it. It's a good story. Smith relearns an old lesson on Buffalo radio. He makes a unique appearance on cable television, where he was to debate a Holocaust survivor, who in the event changed his mind. But Smith was able to demonstrate to his own satisfaction that what he had learned on Buffalo radio had put him on the right track with regard to media interviews generally. CODOH calls for revisionist papers. Smith develops a revisionist voice on "History News Network," and receives unexpected academic help.

THE CODOH REVISIONIST FORUM: A MATTER OF NECESSITY

By Hannover

The Way it was

As anyone who browses the internet knows, there are discussion forums for every topic under the sun. However, there is one topic which is considered taboo. You guessed it, the so called 'Holocaust'. Oh sure, there are a couple of discussion sites which permit some Revisionist viewpoints, but a quick glance reveals a veritable cesspool of crude behavior towards Revisionists. Threats, name-calling, dodging, and subject changing are the order of the day. These dirty tricks are played by those who wish to prevent civil discussion on this controversial topic. Anyone trying to determine the facts becomes hopelessly confused and distracted, just what the enemies of free speech/free inquiry intend.

Leveling the playing field

After participating in the moderation of earlier forums, the now defunct CODOH Discussion Forum and John Ball's (www.air-photo.com) Revisionist Discussion, I realized it was time to begin anew. It was clear to me that a civil approach to discussing this emotional subject was desired by many; in fact, it was downright necessary. To determine the truth, debate needed to be structured in a manner where all participants and readers could engage the issues unhindered, without dirty tricks or underhanded tactics. It made sense to me that debate guidelines* were a solution to this problem. These guidelines needed to be reasonable and clear. They must allow discussion of all views without the trash talk and maneuvers of avoidance. Clearly, the enemies of Revisionism dislike it when their unethical tools are no longer available, they abhor a level playing field. The new Revisionist Forum neutralized the weapons used to stifle debate on what can only be described as The 'Holocaust' Religion.

Guide-lines for the CODOH Forum

http://forum.codoh.com/viewtopic.php?t=358

-- The Moderator retains the right to reject a username if he considers it offensive, obscene, or deliberately distracting.
-- No name-calling, threats, or personal attacks; period.
-- As The Revisionist Forum forbids any threats or personal attacks against others, we will not tolerate links to sites which do engage in such behavior. We're about debate and only debate.
-- On-topic posts only. The topic of the Forum is the subject generally referred to as 'The Holocaust'. Debating its credibility, or lack of, is the reason that The Revisionist Forum exists. Associated subjects are bound to come up, be sure there is a tie-in, and show the tie-in. Each thread represents a separate point; a post to a thread must be pertinent to that point.
-- Posts by new or infrequent participants will be spam checked by the Moderator before they appear on the Forum. They will not be censored for on-topic opinions they present.
-- Keep your posts limited to one point.
-- Voluminous, lengthy, and redundant posts are not welcomed.
-- Posts which lack focus or specifics are not welcomed.
-- No 'dodging'. When questioned or challenged, you must respond or leave the thread.
-- You will address the poster only by the name that he/she uses at the Forum.
-- Offenders will have their posts deleted, repeat offenders will be removed.
-- Reasons for deletions may or may not be stated. The Moderator will endeavor to notify the offender and the Forum in general, but not in every case; especially when it is obvious why the post was deleted.
-- Registrants who do not post within 30 days from date of registration are subject to deletion, they may re-register should they decide to post.

Nothing succeeds like success

The results have been astounding. The Forum has managed to compile a massive archive of views, research, and debate from both sides of the aisle without the sleaze, without the distractions the public sees elsewhere. Want to know what all sides say? Simply check The Revisionist Forum. An informed public is a free public.

Merging with CODOH

But we weren't done yet. I initiated several discussions with CODOH founder, Bradley Smith, and after some back & forth it was agreed that The Revisionist Forum would merge with CODOH. We both felt a form of synergy would be created by a joining of efforts. The merger of The Revisionist Forum with CODOH, CODOH getting a thorough make-over and reorganization, and new team members brought in with additional Revisionist & internet expertise, has resulted in a Revisionist powerhouse to be reckoned with. I can't tell you enough what this means to the Revisionist quest for historical truth and free speech. The Forum is pleased to be working with Bradley, to be a part of the CODOH team. Bradley Smith, as you know, made the effort for years while others sat on the sideline.

Bring 'em on

The CODOH Revisionist Forum takes on all comers. And predictably, since there is little toleration of unfair & evasive tactics, there are those who resort to claims of 'censorship'. Naturally this occurs after their positions are refuted by the many informed Revisionists who participate. They come in say what they want, get refuted, go crazy, and then claim censorship; you just have to love it. It should be noted that not a single viewpoint or position on any specific issue contained within the 'Holocaust' canon is avoided or censored. Only troublemakers are removed or have their posts deleted. That even goes for Revisionists who fail to abide by the guidelines. All those who want to have their say can, none are banned for their views about 'Holocaust' specifics, none. Those that have forced our hand are those who resorted to egregious spam attacks or threats.

We give you a voice

Quite simply, there is no discussion site like this anywhere. We discuss issues in an easily understood, straight forward, civilized manner. We are not interested in preaching to the choir, we know we are read worldwide. You will even read a humorous post or two to keep everyone loose. The CODOH Revisionist Forum wants those who visit us to understand and relate to what we say.

Revisionist Forum Facts

To date:

- we have 300 individuals registered for debate
- we've had 20,000 posts
- we have 2800 topic threads
- CODOH Revisionist Forum posts have been viewed 2,000,000-plus times—and counting
- We have managed to acquire some of the older CODOH Discussion topics as an archive. These include posts from some of the early participants in CODOH. We have different language versions of The CODOH Revisionist Forum - English, German, Swedish, Norwegian, and Danish.

Here are a few examples of discussion topics:

- the alleged & impossible gas chambers
- the alleged & impossible cremation rates
- the origin of the '6,000,000' number
- the alleged 'gas vans'
- the alleged, but curiously missing, mass graves
- the 1-2,000,000 supposedly shot by the Einsatzgruppen
- the 'where did they go' response
- the 'holocaust' for profit
- 'survivor/eyewitness' statements
- Iranian President Ahmadinejad's the 'holocaust is a myth'
- the damning wartime aerial photos of Auschwitz
- the imprisoned Revisionists
- anti-free speech / 'holocaust denial' laws
- claims which defy laws of science
- fake documents
- faked photos
- the Nuremberg show trials
- typhus epidemics
- Allied entry into camps
- the Gypsies canard
- the homosexual extermination myth

Find out for yourself

What The CODOH Revisionist Forum has brought forward is no less than a vital public service. A forum where a taboo subject can be debated in comfort and without fear. As you know, this is a subject that needs to be debated. I certainly hope the Smith Report readers will give us a look, we hope you will participate. Ask the hard questions, post your views, it's all available like it has never been available before.

When all is said & done, solid Revisionist research is there. The storyline we've been forced to swallow is a lie, The CODOH Revisionist Forum backs this up. Revisionism is here, for good.

To contact Hannover

hannover@flashmail.com

"To believe in the Holocaust is fine. To doubt will get you an education."
-- Joe Orolin

REVISIONIST PAPERS WANTED!

The Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust (CODOH) is looking for quality revisionist work for publication to the Codoh.com Website. As you are aware, the recent incarceration of Germar Rudolf has resulted in an abrupt end to his publication of "The Revisionist: Journal for Critical Historical Inquiry." With little going on in the way of Revisionist publication operations in the English language at present, CODOH will seek to fill the gap.

We are primarily interested in history, especially as it relates to the Holocaust. We are also interested in freedom of speech topics. Articles may run the gamut from lengthy dissertations to comment and even book or film reviews.

Documents submitted by mail should be addressed to:

Bradley Smith
CODOH
PO Box 439016
San Ysidro CA 92143

Documents submitted via email should be sent in Word, Text, or HTML format to:

bsmith@prodigy.net.mx

When submitting a paper via email, please include the word "SUBMISSION" in the subject line of your send.

CODOH reserves the right to publish or not publish any work sent to us. If a work requires editing, that will be worked out between one of the CODOH editors and the author of the paper.

MEDIA OUTREACH

WWKB-AM RADIO: BUFFALO

The Leslie Marshall Show

WWKB bills itself as "*Buffalo's new voice, Buffalo's new choice,*" a "LEFT" channel emphasizing liberal and progressive talk. It's a 50,000 watt station reaching Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse, and part of New York City and Toronto.

The host, Leslie Marshall, is heard on over 300 stations nationwide, appears regularly on the O'Reilly Factor, MSNCB and CNN. It's best to know these things up front, but I didn't. Anyhow, I was called on Tuesday afternoon, and went on air with Ms. Marshall at mid-day on 22 June.

Marshall is lively and can be rather over the top, much as O'Reilly and the Hannity people often are. She has been doing talk radio for seventeen years, so she knows what she's doing. She is True Believer in the orthodox Holocaust story, and in the unique monstrosity of the Germans.

While she is for open debate, and I take her seriously on this issue, she sees no reason to take seriously any critical question about the Holocaust story. She finds that because I have not been to the camps myself—she has personally visited Dachau—that what I have to say about them cannot have much value.

I emphasized the fact that Joseph Stalin had a heavy hand in the demonization of the Germans at the Nuremberg Trials, but it didn't click with Marshall. I noted that the need to revise the orthodox Holocaust story should be obvious when we used to believe that gas chambers were used at Bergen-Belsen, Buchenwald, and Dachau, but no longer believe that. She responded with a lot of facts about the Dachau "shower rooms" and ovens. I was willing to stipulate that German National Socialists intentionally shot innocent, unarmed civilians on the Eastern front for what they saw to be a "greater good," then introduced the fact that American Democrats and Republicans intentionally slaughtered the innocent, unarmed, core civilian populations of all the major cities in Germany and Japan for what we argued was a "greater good."

Marshall is obsessed with the view that the Germans are a wretched people, that no others ever did, or ever will do, what they did, and that the argument that Americans intentionally burned alive tens of thousands of German and Japanese babies is neither here nor there because it was "war." These people don't seem to be able to understand that for Germans it was "war" as well. In short, while a couple callers were clear in their view that there should be an open debate on the H., I was unable to steer the interview as a whole to where I wanted it to go. That is my responsibility, so it was my failure.

Nevertheless, Marshall kept me on air for the entire length of her program, an hour and forty minutes. And I took away from the interview an important reminder. I have to "prepare" producers and hosts for what I am prepared to talk about. I am going to focus on American culture, not the Germans. On American actions during WWII and ask how they differ from German actions—and if they differ in any fundamental way at all.

In short, why it is wrong for Germans to intentionally kill innocent unarmed civilians, and not wrong for Americans to do the same. The host will understand before I go on the air that I am not going to address these matters any longer in the way they have been address in American media for the last six decades. I will prepare them when I am invited to guest on their program. I will not try to work it out while we are on the air.

After the interview with Leslie Marshall I wrote her via email thanking her for the invite, and for the way she repeatedly identified me as director of Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust. I have done interviews where CODOH was mentioned only during my initial introduction. She replied saying that she would see to it that I do receive an audio tape or CD of the interview. If she follows through, I'll make it available to readers of ***SR***. You'll be able to see how it went, and what my concerns were.

COMCAST CABLE TELEVISION PORTLAND OREGON

The Don Baham Show

This is the Cable TV interview that I mentioned last month here, noting that I would be sharing the camera with a Holocaust survivor. I wrote that the survivor was of the female persuasion. This was untrue, but I didn't want to give the game away. In the end, it didn't matter. The producer and host of the show is Dr. Don Baham, a retired Clinical Psychologist and a Certified Transactional Analyst. Maybe he wanted to find out what makes me tick.

As to our survivor friend, Al Wiener has survived five (5) Nazi death camps. Mr. Wiener was seventeen when he was liberated from Auschwitz in 1944. I was fourteen.

He has spoken to more than 200 high school classes, relating his personal story. The idea for the shared interview originated with Mr. Weiner himself. He wanted to appear on television with a "Holocaust denier."

Dave Westerlund, a subscriber to SR, offered to book me on the Don Baham Show and pay my travel and overnight expenses. Dave would guest on the program with me. While I don't believe we are particularly interested in community access TV any longer (especially if there are substantial costs involved—I will do local cable access any time), the idea of appearing on camera with a "professional" H. survivor was an opportunity I could not pass up.

Not only would I appear on the same show with Mr. Weiner, but Dave and I would pick him up at his apartment and drive him to the station. From the one press report I have on Wiener he appears to be a nice old guy. Nevertheless, four days before I was to fly to Portland, Dave called to inform me that Mr. Weiner had changed his mind. It appears he decided that the experience of appearing with Dave and me on television would be "too emotional." I think he probably told an associate about the upcoming program, someone who knows who I am, and that that was the end of it with Mr. Weiner. We'll never know.

The primary reason for doing the interview with Dr. Baham, then, was gone. Nevertheless, we were so far into it by now that we decided to go ahead. A new guest, Arnie Panitch, of Ukrainian-Jewish ancestry, and a humanist, would take Wiener's place. Dr. Baham and Dave are humanists as well, and that is their primary connection.

So on the morning of 28 June I drove across the border at Tijuana, continued on to San Diego, and flew to Portland via Sacramento. I don't like flying, I think airplanes are really much too heavy to stay up there, but sometimes you have to do what have to do.

Dave met me at baggage got up in full Arab dress, including the head scarf. I had not met him before and when he introduced himself to me as "Dave," the brain shifted to "pause" for a moment. Then we were both laughing and for the next 24 hours we did a lot of that. He had a Jewish lady with him as his guest, a smallish, elderly, very lively German who is committed to the Palestinian cause, as is Dave. It was good company.

This time I had fully alerted Don Baham as to what issues I was interested in addressing and from what perspective. I spelled it out in some 500 words. I made it plain. My primary question for him, and for his audience, would be why we hold Germans to a higher moral standard than what we hold ourselves to. I would argue that the professorial class should encourage an open debate on the German WMD (gas-chamber) fraud, rather than discourage such debate. With regard to intellectual freedom, the matter was the same whether the gas chambers existed or not.

I would argue that the failure of Germans to address their own history is a problem for Germans to solve. The failure of Americans to address American history, by evading it with.charges of "unique monstrosity" on the part of the Germans, has got to end.

And this time that is how it worked out. I was able to make clear that my story is not about Germans and not about Jews, but about the moral and intellectual failure of Americans to recognize or even discuss the implications of the Holocaust story on American cultural and political life. By the time we were finished with the program, I understood that I had said stuff that I had never been able to get out on the air before.

There was a second reward for doing the Don Baham show. The original title for the program was "Questions about the Holocaust." I thought that was okay. Just a title. Not bad, not good. Just there. Dr. Baham changed the title to read: "Why Holocaust Revisionism?" I didn't even know he had changed it until he said it on-air. This may appear to be a very small matter to you guys. But for me it was like an opaque window had shattered to let in the light.

"Why" Holocaust revisionism? The reasons are endless. I do not have to argue that gas chambers did not exist at Auschwitz—this was a big issue on the Leslie Marshall Show—but that when we observe that gas-chamber claims about Bergen-Belsen, Buchenwald, Dachau and others have all proven false, it is entirely obvious that Auschwitz might well be up for grabs.

It is a small change of emphasis, a sleight change of perspective, nothing more. It felt to me that I had discovered the secret to the universe—of media. It still does. It is a matter of a "headline." The work of getting publicity is an art that includes headlines. "Why Holocaust Revisionism" appeared to me immediately as a headline I should of thought of myself 20 years ago. I was so enthusiastic about it that I congratulated Dr. Baham on air—twice.

I am just as enthusiastic about it today as I was five days ago in that Portland community access TV studio. Tomorrow? We'll see.

After the program that night I was told by crew that some liked what I did very much, others were literally throwing up their hands. Don Baham got annoyed with me

at one place. He asked me if I were an anti-Semite. I said no, then asked him if he were. He said no. I asked him to demonstrate to me on air that he was not an anti-Semite. It got involved, and he was annoyed with me. But that is the corner that the host oftentimes puts me in. How can I prove that I am not an anti-Semite in a few minutes on-air. Anyhow, we ended up laughing about it. I am assured that I will receive a CD of the program and I'll make it available to you. I think you will see, hear things here that you have not seen or heard on television—ever. I think there might be a way to use this program for outreach.

Maybe, if you watch the show, you will have an idea or two about exploiting it. I'll be all ears.

THE INTERNET -- HISTORY NEWS NETWORK (HNN)

HNN is funded by George Mason University. The magazine features articles by historians on both the left and the right. More than 12,000 readers subscribe to its weekly newsletter. The site attracts nearly 300,000 unique visitors every month." HNN was created "By historians for historians," and only articles written by historians are published there. So when I work on the site I am being read, and those who respond to me are being read, by academics. Where else is this happening between revisionists and academics? In June there was a discussion regarding one (the final) sentence of this article:

A Florida law banning relativism in classes ignores reality and 75 years of academic tradition

Jonathan Zimmerman
Los Angeles Times (6-7-06)

[Zimmerman teaches history and education at New York U.]

"JUST WHEN YOU thought it was safe to study American history again ... the revisionists are back!

"You know, those relativists who distort or simply fabricate the past to make it fit their present-day biases. For instance, shortly after the U.S. invaded Iraq in 2003, President Bush attacked "revisionist historians" who questioned his justifications for using force against Saddam Hussein. He did it again on Veterans Day in 2005. 'It is deeply irresponsible," he declared, "to rewrite the history of how the war began.'

"And just last week, in an unprecedented move, the president's brother approved a law barring revisionist history in Florida public schools. "The history of the United States shall be taught as genuine history and shall not follow the revisionist or postmodernist viewpoints of relative truth," declares Florida's Education Omnibus Bill, signed by Gov. Jeb Bush. "American history shall be viewed as factual, not as constructed."

[I have to cut the heart out of the article here. It was well done. If you want to see it, drop me a line. Following is the final paragraph, with its final line, which is what I picked up on—"*And may the best story win.*". ...]

"If more of us wrote for the people instead of simply about them, perhaps they would turn a deaf ear to specious charges of 'revisionism,' 'constructivism' and the like. People construct their own stories every day, just like we historians do. And may the best story win."

Bradley Smith on June 12, 2006

"May the best story win." Among historians, too often that's just not how it works. The "best story" is too often the story that has behind it the most money, the most political influence, and the most severe penalties for those who dare challenge any significant part of it. The most egregious example of such behavior among the professorial class (as a class) is its routine condemnation of revisionist arguments regarding the Holocaust story.

[*Several posts omitted here.*]

Andy Mahan on June 12

WOW. I've always thought that "denial of the holocaust," for lack of a better phrase, was baseless. What additional sources, (books, articles) would you offer to support the claim that the historical record is inaccurate?

Frederick Thomas on June 13

[I do not know if Mr. Thomas is an academic. He has mentioned "physics" on other occasions. Professor of physics? Don't know. But what Thomas writes here is being read by academics of all stripes, including historians, and I believe it is a short overview of revisionist arguments that very few academics will read anywhere else—not that it's not available.]

Mr. Mahon:

[...]

1. A corroborating bit of hard evidence is the collection US aerial photos taken from 1942 onwards

of Auschwitz-Birkenau, some of which are available on the internet.

http://www.globalsecurity.org/intell/library/imint/auschwitz.htm

If people were sent to Auschwitz to be killed, why were so many hundreds of barracks built, particularly at Birkenau, which pro-holocaust advocates call an "extermination camp?" (The Germans called it a "Konzentrazionslager," which appears correct.)

And who is manning those 33 adjacent factories, including the two giant IG Farben plants, other than the inmates of those barracks? If death were the object, why would people be brought so far, at such expense, and put to work first? Indeed, it seems that war production was the reason for Auschwitz, in which women and children played a big part, ergo the large number of tatooed survivors.

2. Secondly, consider the epidemiology of Typhus, the real murderer at concentration and POW camps alike.

http://www3.baylor.edu/~Charles_Kemp/typhus.htm\

The type of typhus which haunted the camps is borne by lice, and can symbiotically gestate only in the stomach and intestines of the louse. The louse seeks and bites an infected person, and passes Typhus through the blood ingested. Eggs are laid on that victim, and hatch with the disease.

Lice are territirial and seek other victims, and soon an entire crowded barracks can be infected, and indeed often was.

Kommandant Hoess was fired in 1943 for permitting a near 40% falloff in camp production, from the 1942-1943 epidemics, while German troops suffered fatal setbacks in the East from lack of fuel and suplies (the IG Farben plants produced gasoline from coal.)

So the louse, the war bug, lowest of creatures, probably took a bigger toll that most of the fighting, as it has so often in the past, including our Civil War. German and French civilians were not spared.

Of course, death by gas is probably preferable to death by typhus, which is very painful and takes about two weeks.

3. Finally, consider the odd advocates of the holocaust hypothesis.

Elie Wiesel apparently spent WW II in a Polish trainyard protected by his German friend and boss, but tells the most fantastic stories to a horrified audience to maximize book sales. His three autobiographies sound like three different people.

Abe Foxman, who is paid $750,000 plus bonus yearly to find anti-semites, will surely continue to do so.

Then consider the hundreds of billions in reparations and aid which have gone to Israel.

The "holocaust" is a demonstrably profitable business, both for its purveyors and for the State of Israel, for which it also serves to deflect criticism of human rights abuses. And so it continues.

by Bradley Smith on June 13

Mr. Thomas:

With re to using sources here: I have cornered myself. Because it's not really the "facts" of The Story that most interest me, but:

1) How the professorial class has protected and helped "manage" The Story from the get-go via slander and taboo and law, and ....

2) How The Story is used to morally justify the mass killings of innocent, unarmed civilians by the U.S. during WWII (Americans of course were not alone in that but as an American. . . .); to morally justify the building of a Jewish State on Arab land where the people living there did not want it; to morally justify the U.S. alliance with Israel against the Palestinians which, it can be aruged (but not very simply sourced) morally justified the attack against America on 9/11 in the eyes of those who carried it out, which helped to morally justify in turn the U.S. attack on Iraq and . . . who knows what is coming down the pike?

I'm beguiled by the notion that as Americans we should hold ourselves to the same high moral standards to which we hold others, particularly (historically) Germans. That we should not cut up the moral equation into pieces, saving the easy parts for ourselves, the pieces that are hard to digest for the others.

It is my experience that what most interests me about The Story, does not interest others, and that in fact most others feel offended (and if not offended, extraordinally cautious) that I should go on about it.

by Frederick Thomas on June 14

Good points:

-It seems that the original impetus for what you call "the story" came from the Soviets.

-All of the KZ inmate "witness testimony" taken at Neuremberg were provided by them, and they were given a free hand legally. The Brits helped out in a supporting role by forcing Hoess confession, which he did not write.

-These same Soviets had murdered 10 million Ukranians/-Kulaks in 1932, 3.5 million Germans and about 1 million Poles and others in ethnically cleansing and subjugating Eastern Europe in 1945, and they needed cover for all this mass murder.

-Best way to get it? Point finger at the other guy, and accuse him of a bigger crime than you are accused of.

-Just to be sure, Vyszhinski, the GRU Kommissar thug who sat in judgment of the Germans at Neuremberg, had about 6 years earlier supervised the killings at Katyn Forest. Judge? He should have been shot out of hand.

-Google "elie wiesel fraud," and pay particular attention to some of the HNN comments. There is so much material against him that if he were not Jewish he'd be in jail or dead long since.

-By diverting attention and blame, this professional liar has given essential PR support to Israel, while permitting extortion of hundreds of billions from Germany, Austria, Switzerland, and the US. Norm Finkelstein's books document this scam dispassionately and fully. He calls it "The Holocaust Industry." http://www.normanfinkelstein.com

I appreciate your courage in asserting the truth in the face of the greatest fraud in history. Meanwhile, if one of the defenders of this scam calls you an anti-semite, get out the bug spray and let 'em have it. Translated, "anti-semite" means "honest historian."

THE CODOH CALL FOR REVISIONIST PAPERS

Here are recent stats for the CODOH Library for one week, ending 08 June.

17,089 unique visitors
4,707,964 hits on files
1,273,145 pages accessed

And these stats are climbing! You *will* be read. Send it in.

AN UPDATE FROM ROBERT FAURISSON

"On July 11 I will appear in court, in Paris, for having given an interview by phone to "Sahar", an Iranian television network. "Sahar," using a satellite system (I did not know that), my interview, which was revisionist in content, was received in France. That is why "Sahar" is now forbidden in France, and I am sued. Most probably the sentence will be rendered in September."

I have no idea what to expect from this one, and I do not think Robert has much of an idea either.

OTHER STUFF

I really have to thank those of you who contributed so generously this past month. I was able to pay off a debt that had started weighing heavily on me, and have some operating cash on top of it. I'm not out of the woods, I do not have a regular monthly income, so this month, every month, is important to me with regard to the funding.

This was a productive month. The Leslie Marshall show woke me up with regard to proposing new interviews to radio. The Don Baham Show allowed me to experiment with what I had learned from the Marshall show. I was able to say on Baham's show what I had never been able to say on air before. We made the decision to solicit new Revisionist papers. On History News Network, one of their own took revisionist arguments straight to the professorial lion's den.

PRINTING SCHEDULE

You are receiving this issue of Smith's Report quite late. I got it to the printer late, but then the printer and me, we got our wires crossed. I misunderstood something he told me about the formatting, and when I fixed the formatting I misunderstood something else. An issue of language. While I do pretty well in Spanish, sometimes I don't do as well as I need to do. Anyhow, nothing terrible is wrong here. I'm just late. Meanwhile, I've started work on chapter five of Reading Mein Kampf. Maybe I'll have it ready next issue.

Meanwhile, summer is often a difficult time here. Please don't forget to stay with me.

Thanks.

--Bradley

Smith's Report
is published by
Committee for Open Debate
Ont the Holocaust
Bredley R. Smith, Director

For your contribution of $39 you will receibe 12 issues of *Smith's Report.*
In Canada and Mexico--$45
Overseas--$49

Correspondence & checks to:

Bradley R. Smith
Post Office Box 439016
San Ysidro, CA 92143

Telephone: 619 203 3151
Voice: 1 619 685 2163
T & F: Baja, Mexico
011 52 661 61 23984

Email: NEW
bsmith@prodigy.net.mx

On the Web:
www.Codoh.com